arXiv:1410.5507v2 [math-ph] 31 Oct 2014

Uncertainty Relation of Functions for Kernel-based Transforms Obtained in Quantum Mechanics

Jun-Hua Chen*and Hong-Yi Fan†

November 3, 2014

Abstract

In this paper, the generic uncertainty relation (UR) for kernel-based transformations (KT) of functions is derived. Instead of using the statistics approach as shown in the literature before, here we employ quantum mechanical operator approach for directly deriving the UR for KT's. We are able to do this because we have found the quantum operator realization of KT. Our new method is concise and applicable to any kinds of KT's with continuous and discrete parameters and variables. An explicit result of UR for a family of KT's including FrFT, generalized fractional transformation (GFrT) and linear canonical transformation (LCT) is provided as an application of our new method.

1 Introduction

In optical communication, image manipulation and signal processing, the fractional Fourier transformation (FrFT) is a very useful tool[1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. The concept of the FrFT was originally described by Condon[3] and later introduced for signal processing in 1980 by Namias[4] as a Fourier transform of fractional order. Sumiyoshi et al[8] also made an interesting generalization on FrFT in 1994. Working in the context of quantum mechanics (functional analysis), we have pointed out that any compositable kernel-based transformations $T_K[f](\boldsymbol{B}) = \int K(\boldsymbol{B},\boldsymbol{A}) f(\boldsymbol{A}) d\mu(\boldsymbol{A})$ can be "fractionalized" to additive transformations T_α in [9], where $T_\alpha[f](\boldsymbol{B}) = \int K_\alpha(\boldsymbol{B},\boldsymbol{A}) f(\boldsymbol{A}) d\mu(\boldsymbol{A})$ and

*Jun-Hua Chen is with [1]Hefei Center for Physical Science and Technology, Hefei, 230026, China; [2]CAS Key Laboratory of Materials for Energy Conversion, Hefei, 230026, China; [3]Synergetic Innovation Center of Quantum Information and Quantum Physics, USTC, Hefei, 230026, China; [4]Department of Material Science and Engineering, USTC, Hefei, 230026, China. email: cjh@ustc.edu.cn

†Hong-Yi Fan is with [1]Department of Physics, Ningbo University, Ningbo 315211, China; [2]Department of Material Science and Engineering, USTC, Hefei, 230026, China. email: fhym@ustc.edu.cn

$T_1 = T_K$. And we have found the explicit form of all the compositable and additive kernel-based transformations. We named such additive transformations as Generalized Fractional Transformation (GFrT). Since FrFT is a compositable and additive kernel-based transformation, it is naturally included as one special case of GFrT. The new perspective of transformations offers many advantages in the calculations in [9], as we will see later in this work, this new perspective also brings advantages in dealing with general kernel-based transformations.

On the other hand, the uncertainty principle is always a hot topic in physics.[10, 11, 12, 13] The uncertainty principle that describes the constraint on the spreads of functions in the original domain and transformed domain plays an important role in many fields like physics, data analysis and signal processing. In [14], the uncertainty relation (UR) for FrFT on real signals has been calculated with a large amount of works using traditional method of real analysis. The UR's for one dimensional linear canonical transformations (LCT) were also discussed[15, 16, 17] recently. An interesting question thus naturally arises: what is the UR for functions undergoing generalized fractional transformations (GFrT)? And even more generally, what is the UR for functions undergoing arbitrary kernel-based transformations (KT)? To our knowledge, only the FrFT and one dimensional LCT had been concerned regarding the UR of functions in the literatures before.

Instead of employing the usual statistics method (either real analysis method) to calculate function's variance, in this paper we shall adopt a completely new approach for deriving the product of the spreads of general functions in its KT domains with different parameters or even different types. We are able to accomplish this approach because we have found the quantum operator realization of KT, thus the whole derivation process can be carried out in the context of quantum mechanics. The work is arranged as follows. In Sec. II, we make a brief review of KT in the context of quantum mechanics. In Sec. III, we convert the calculation of UR for KT to the related quantum mechanical objects. In Sec. IV, we derive the general UR for a family of KT, including FrFT, GFrT and multi-dimensional LCT. Then in Sec. V we apply this formula on four examples to make further illustration of the method in calculating general UR's for these KT's. The results in [14, 15, 16, 17], which were obtained with huge amount of hard work there, now appear straightforwardly. A new UR for a complecated KT is also derived with no special efforts. This is the merit of working in the context of quantum mechanics.

2 KT Expressed in the Context of Quantum Mechanics

Let $\boldsymbol{A} = (\boldsymbol{A}_1, \cdots, \boldsymbol{A}_m) \in \mathbb{D}_{\boldsymbol{A}}$, $\boldsymbol{B} = (\boldsymbol{B}_1, \cdots, \boldsymbol{B}_n) \in \mathbb{D}_{\boldsymbol{B}}$ be m and n dimensional continuous or discrete variables in Borel sets $\mathbb{D}_{\boldsymbol{A}}$, $\mathbb{D}_{\boldsymbol{B}}$ with measure $\mu(\boldsymbol{A})$ and $\mu(\boldsymbol{B})$ respectively. Since one can always express one complex variable as two real variables, we can assume that $\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$ are both real without loss of generality. As is well-known, the kernel-based transformation T_K on function f

of $\boldsymbol{A}$ with kernel function $K(\boldsymbol{B},\boldsymbol{A})$ is defined as the Lebesgue integration

$$T_K[f](\boldsymbol{B}) = \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\boldsymbol{A}}} K(\boldsymbol{B},\boldsymbol{A}) f(\boldsymbol{A}) d\mu(\boldsymbol{A}). \tag{1}$$

If $\mathbb{D}_{\boldsymbol{A}} = \mathbb{D}_{\boldsymbol{B}} = \mathbb{D}$, and $\boldsymbol{A}$, $\boldsymbol{B}$ are assigned with the same measure, then we are able to define the composite transformation $T_{K_1} \circ T_{K_2}$ of two transformations T_{K_1} and T_{K_2} naturally as

$$\begin{aligned} & (T_{K_1} \circ T_{K_2})[f](\boldsymbol{B}) \\ = & \int_{\mathbb{D}} K_1(\boldsymbol{B},\boldsymbol{A}') K_2(\boldsymbol{A}',\boldsymbol{A}) f(\boldsymbol{A}) d\mu(\boldsymbol{A}) d\mu(\boldsymbol{A}'). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Such KT's are called "compositable" KT's. If there exists a parameterization $K_\alpha(\boldsymbol{B},\boldsymbol{A})$ of the set of kernels $K(\boldsymbol{B},\boldsymbol{A})$'s so that the composition is additive, i.e.,

$$T_{K_\alpha} \circ T_{K_\beta} = T_{K_{\alpha+\beta}}, \tag{3}$$

then such KT's are called Generalized Fractional Transformation (GFrT). In other words, GFrT's are additive (and of course compositable, in order to make sense of additivity) KT's. The details of the construction and the properties of GFrT are proposed in [9].

KT's include most of the linear transformations that scientists are interested in, therefore it is worthwhile to derive a generic UR for functions undergoing KT's, rather that treating them case by case. KT's are usually defined by complicated kernels. The complexity of the kernel brings unnecessary difficulties to the calculations, and makes the meaning of results obscure.[14, 15, 16, 17] As we will show later in this work, it helps greatly to simplify the calculations and clarify the interpretation of the results to work in the context of quantum mechanics.

In quantum mechanics, a function f is corresponding to a state vector $|f\rangle$ in the physics state space (a vector in the Hilbert space), the value $f(\boldsymbol{A})$ of function f at given point $\boldsymbol{A}$ is the inner product $\langle \boldsymbol{A}| f\rangle$. Here $\{|\boldsymbol{A}\rangle$'s$\}$ and $\{|\boldsymbol{B}\rangle$'s$\}$ are two sets of basis of the Hilbert space, satisfying eigen-equations ($\hat{\boldsymbol{A}} = \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{A}}_1, \cdots, \hat{\boldsymbol{A}}_m\right)$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{B}} = \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_1, \cdots, \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_n\right)$ are some appropriate Hermitian operators)

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{A}}|\boldsymbol{A}\rangle = \boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{A}\rangle, \ \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}|\boldsymbol{B}\rangle = \boldsymbol{B}|\boldsymbol{B}\rangle, \tag{4}$$

and the completeness relations

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}_{\boldsymbol{A}}} |\boldsymbol{A}\rangle\langle\boldsymbol{A}| d\mu(\boldsymbol{A}) = \mathbf{1}, \ \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\boldsymbol{B}}} |\boldsymbol{B}\rangle\langle\boldsymbol{B}| d\mu(\boldsymbol{B}) = \mathbf{1}. \tag{5}$$

For example, if the domain of the original function is $\mathbb{R}$, and the transformed domain is $\mathbb{N}$, then we can choose $|\boldsymbol{A}\rangle$ to be the 1-dimensional coordinate eigenvector $|x\rangle$, $\hat{\boldsymbol{A}} = \hat{x}$, and $|\boldsymbol{B}\rangle$ to be the photon number eigenstate $|n\rangle$, $\hat{\boldsymbol{B}} = \hat{n}$.

Under KT, function $f(\boldsymbol{A}) = \langle \boldsymbol{A} | f \rangle$ in $\boldsymbol{A}$ domain is transformed to new function $T_K[f](\boldsymbol{B})$ in $\boldsymbol{B}$ domain. If $|\boldsymbol{A}\rangle$'s and $|\boldsymbol{B}\rangle$'s are chosen to be orthonormal:

$$\langle \boldsymbol{A} | \boldsymbol{A}' \rangle = \delta^{(m)}(\boldsymbol{A} - \boldsymbol{A}'), \ \langle \boldsymbol{B} | \boldsymbol{B}' \rangle = \delta^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{B} - \boldsymbol{B}'), \tag{6}$$

then operator $\hat{K}$ that is defined by

$$\hat{K} = \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\boldsymbol{B}}} \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\boldsymbol{A}}} K(\boldsymbol{B}, \boldsymbol{A}) |\boldsymbol{B}\rangle \langle \boldsymbol{A}| \, d\mu(\boldsymbol{A}) \, d\mu(\boldsymbol{B}) \tag{7}$$

satisfies

$$\begin{array}{c} \langle \boldsymbol{B}| \hat{K} |\boldsymbol{A}\rangle \\ = \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\boldsymbol{B}'}} \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\boldsymbol{A}'}} K(\boldsymbol{B}', \boldsymbol{A}') \langle \boldsymbol{B} | \boldsymbol{B}' \rangle \langle \boldsymbol{A}' | \boldsymbol{A} \rangle \, d\mu(\boldsymbol{A}') \, d\mu(\boldsymbol{B}') \\ = \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\boldsymbol{B}'}} \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\boldsymbol{A}'}} K(\boldsymbol{B}', \boldsymbol{A}') \delta^{(m)}(\boldsymbol{A} - \boldsymbol{A}') \delta^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{B} - \boldsymbol{B}') \, d\mu(\boldsymbol{A}') \, d\mu(\boldsymbol{B}') \\ = K(\boldsymbol{B}, \boldsymbol{A}). \end{array} \tag{8}$$

In this case $T_K[f](\boldsymbol{B})$ can be rewritten in the context of quantum mechanics as follows

$$\begin{aligned} T_K[f](\boldsymbol{B}) &= \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\boldsymbol{A}}} K(\boldsymbol{B}, \boldsymbol{A}) f(\boldsymbol{A}) \, d\mu(\boldsymbol{A}) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\boldsymbol{A}}} \langle \boldsymbol{B}| \hat{K} |\boldsymbol{A}\rangle \langle \boldsymbol{A}| f \rangle \, d\mu(\boldsymbol{A}) \\ &= \langle \boldsymbol{B}| \hat{K} |f\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where we have used the completeness relation Eq. (5) of $|\boldsymbol{A}\rangle$'s. New expression Eq. (9) of KT Eq. (1) indicates that T_K is simply a linear transformation $\hat{K}$ on $|f\rangle$ plus the change of basis from $|\boldsymbol{A}\rangle$ to $|\boldsymbol{B}\rangle$. Or equivalently, T_K is simply the change of basis from $|\boldsymbol{A}\rangle$ to $\hat{K}^\dagger |\boldsymbol{B}\rangle$ ($\hat{K}^\dagger$ is the Hermitian conjugation of $\hat{K}$), and state $|f\rangle$ is kept unchanged. In the latter perspective of KT's, performing KT does not change the object $|f\rangle$ itself. What we do is just choosing different representations. KT's are naturally passive transformations.

This new perspective of KT's simplifies things greatly. Here are some examples.

First, in many cases, we demand that the general Parseval's equation holds for KT, i.e.,

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}_{\boldsymbol{B}}} |T_K[f](\boldsymbol{B})|^2 \, d\mu(\boldsymbol{B}) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\boldsymbol{A}}} |f(\boldsymbol{A})|^2 \, d\mu(\boldsymbol{A}). \tag{10}$$

Or equivalently

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}_{\boldsymbol{B}}} T_K^*[f](\boldsymbol{B}) T_K[g](\boldsymbol{B}) \, d\mu(\boldsymbol{B}) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\boldsymbol{A}}} f^*(\boldsymbol{A}) g(\boldsymbol{A}) \, d\mu(\boldsymbol{A}). \tag{11}$$

In our new perspective, Eq. (11) can be expressed as

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}_{\boldsymbol{B}}} \langle f| \hat{K}^\dagger |\boldsymbol{B}\rangle \langle \boldsymbol{B}| \hat{K} |g\rangle \, d\mu(\boldsymbol{B}) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\boldsymbol{A}}} \langle f | \boldsymbol{A}\rangle \langle \boldsymbol{A}| g\rangle \, d\mu(\boldsymbol{A}) \tag{12}$$

Using the completeness relations in Eq. (5), Eq. (12) becomes

$$\langle f|\,\hat{K}^{\dagger}\hat{K}\,|g\rangle \equiv \langle f\,|g\rangle\,. \tag{13}$$

Since $|f\rangle$ and $|g\rangle$ are arbitrary states, we have $\hat{K}^{\dagger}\hat{K}=\mathbf{1}$. In other words, general Parseval's theorem holds if and only if the KT is defined by unitary operator $\hat{K}$.

Second, in [9], we have proved that the kernel of FrFT is in fact

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\alpha}(p,x) &= \sqrt{\frac{1-i\cot\alpha}{2\pi}}e^{\frac{i}{2}\left(\frac{p^2+x^2}{\tan\alpha}-\frac{2px}{\sin\alpha}\right)} \\ &= \langle p|\exp\left[i\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\alpha\right)a^{\dagger}a\right]|x\rangle \\ &= \langle p|\exp\left[-i\alpha a^{\dagger}a\right]|p'=x\rangle\,, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where $|x\rangle$ and $|p\rangle$ are coordinate and momentum eigenvectors, a and $a^{\dagger}$ are the standard annihilation and creation operator respectively. $|p'=x\rangle$ is a momentum eigenvector with eigenvalue x. We see clearly from Eq. (14) that $K_{\pi/2}(p,x)=\langle p\,|x\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-ipx}$ is the traditional FT kernel, and $K_0(p,x)=\langle p\,|p'=x\rangle=\delta(p-x)$. The additivity of FrFT is obvious in our new perspective since

$$\begin{aligned} & \int K_{\alpha}(p,p')\,K_{\beta}(p',x)\,dp' \\ = & \int \langle p|\exp\left[-i\alpha a^{\dagger}a\right]|p'\rangle\,\langle p'|\exp\left[-i\beta a^{\dagger}a\right]|p''=x\rangle\,dp' \\ = & \langle p|\exp\left[-i(\alpha+\beta)a^{\dagger}a\right]|p''=x\rangle \\ = & K_{\alpha+\beta}(p,x)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Also, as we have shown in [9], the eigen-problems for GFrT are simplified greatly in the new perspective.

3 The UR for KT Derived in the Context of QM

Under KT, a function $f(\boldsymbol{A})$ in $\boldsymbol{A}$ domain is transformed to $T_K[f](\boldsymbol{B})$ in $\boldsymbol{B}$ domain

$$f(\boldsymbol{A})=\langle \boldsymbol{A}|\,f\rangle \rightarrow T_K[f](\boldsymbol{B})=\langle \boldsymbol{B}|\,\hat{K}\,|f\rangle\,. \tag{16}$$

As usual, the expectation value of $\hat{\boldsymbol{A}}_i$ and the corresponding covariances $Cov(\boldsymbol{A}_i,\boldsymbol{A}_j)$ with respect to signal f are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\boldsymbol{A}}_i &\equiv \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\boldsymbol{A}}} \boldsymbol{A}_i\,|f(\boldsymbol{A})|^2\,d\mu(\boldsymbol{A}) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\boldsymbol{A}}} \langle f\,|\boldsymbol{A}\rangle\,\langle \boldsymbol{A}|\,\hat{\boldsymbol{A}}_i\,|f\rangle\,d\mu(\boldsymbol{A}) = \langle f|\,\hat{\boldsymbol{A}}_i\,|f\rangle\,, \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & Cov\left(\boldsymbol{A}_i, \boldsymbol{A}_j\right) \\ \equiv & \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\boldsymbol{A}}} \left(\boldsymbol{A}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{A}}_i\right)\left(\boldsymbol{A}_j - \bar{\boldsymbol{A}}_j\right)\left|f\left(\boldsymbol{A}\right)\right|^2 d\mu\left(\boldsymbol{A}\right) \\ = & \left\langle f\right| \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{A}}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{A}}_i\right)\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{A}}_j - \bar{\boldsymbol{A}}_j\right)\left|f\right\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where we have used the eigen-equations Eq. (4) and the completeness relation Eq. (5). The variance of $\boldsymbol{A}_i$ is $\sigma^2_{\boldsymbol{A}_i} = Cov\left(\boldsymbol{A}_i, \boldsymbol{A}_i\right)$.

Similarly, we can re-express the average $\bar{\boldsymbol{B}}_{K,i}$ and covariances $Cov\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{K,i}, \boldsymbol{B}_{K,j}\right)$ in $\boldsymbol{B}$ domain for the transformed signal $T_K\left[f\right]\left(\boldsymbol{B}\right)$ in the context of quantum mechanics. Because $T_K\left[f\right]\left(\boldsymbol{B}\right) = \left\langle \boldsymbol{B}\right| \hat{K}\left|f\right\rangle$, $T^*_K\left[f\right]\left(\boldsymbol{B}\right) = \left\langle f\right| \hat{K}^\dagger\left|\boldsymbol{B}\right\rangle$ and $\boldsymbol{B}_i\left|\boldsymbol{B}\right\rangle = \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_i\left|\boldsymbol{B}\right\rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\boldsymbol{B}}_{K,i} & \equiv \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\boldsymbol{B}}} \boldsymbol{B}_i \left|T_K\left[f\right]\left(\boldsymbol{B}\right)\right|^2 d\mu\left(\boldsymbol{B}\right) \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\boldsymbol{B}}} \left\langle f\right| \hat{K}^\dagger \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_i\left|\boldsymbol{B}\right\rangle \left\langle \boldsymbol{B}\right| \hat{K}\left|f\right\rangle d\mu\left(\boldsymbol{B}\right) \\ & = \left\langle f\right| \hat{K}^\dagger \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_i \hat{K}\left|f\right\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & Cov\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{K,i}, \boldsymbol{B}_{K,j}\right) \\ \equiv & \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\boldsymbol{B}}} \left(\boldsymbol{B}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{B}}_{K,i}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{B}_j - \bar{\boldsymbol{B}}_{K,j}\right)\left|T_K\left[f\right]\left(\boldsymbol{B}\right)\right|^2 d\mu\left(\boldsymbol{B}\right) \\ = & \left\langle f\right| \left(\hat{K}^\dagger \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_i \hat{K} - \bar{\boldsymbol{B}}_{K,i}\right)\left(\hat{K}^\dagger \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_j \hat{K} - \bar{\boldsymbol{B}}_{K,j}\right)\left|f\right\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

The variance of $\boldsymbol{B}_{K,i}$ is $\sigma^2_{\boldsymbol{B}_{K,i}} = Cov\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{K,i}, \boldsymbol{B}_{K,i}\right)$.

From Eqs. (19, 20) we see that the key point to evaluate $\bar{\boldsymbol{B}}_{K,i}$ and $Cov\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{K,i}, \boldsymbol{B}_{K,j}\right)$ is deriving the transformed operator

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_K \equiv \hat{K}^\dagger \hat{\boldsymbol{B}} \hat{K}. \tag{21}$$

Once we obtain $\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_K$, then using Eq.(20) we can calculate $Cov\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{K,i}, \boldsymbol{B}_{K,j}\right)$ in any domains. Particularly, we are not constrained to work in $\boldsymbol{B}$ domain.

In the case of GFrT, the operators $\hat{K}$'s can be denoted as $\hat{K}_\alpha$. We will write $\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{K_\alpha}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}_{K_\alpha,i}$ as $\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_\alpha$ and $\boldsymbol{B}_{\alpha,i}$ for GFrT. Particularly, when $\alpha = 0$,

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_0 = \hat{K}_0^\dagger \hat{\boldsymbol{B}} \hat{K}_0 = \hat{\boldsymbol{A}}. \tag{22}$$

For instance, let $\hat{\boldsymbol{A}}$ be the coordinate operator $\hat{X}$, $\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}$ the momentum operator $\hat{P}$, the traditional Heisenberg UR is $\sigma^2_X \sigma^2_P \geqslant \frac{1}{4}$. In FrFT, we have $\hat{K}_\alpha = e^{i\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha\right)a^\dagger a}$, then $\hat{K}_0 = e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}a^\dagger a}$, $\hat{K}_0^\dagger \hat{P} \hat{K}_0 = \hat{X}$; and $\hat{K}_{\alpha=\frac{\pi}{2}} = 1$, $\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{\frac{\pi}{2}} = \hat{K}^\dagger_{\frac{\pi}{2}} \hat{\boldsymbol{B}} \hat{K}_{\frac{\pi}{2}} = \hat{P}$. $\sigma^2_X \sigma^2_P \geqslant \frac{1}{4}$ can be expressed as $\sigma^2_{\boldsymbol{B}_0} \sigma^2_{\boldsymbol{B}_{\pi/2}} \geqslant \frac{1}{4}$.

One then naturally asks what is the uncertainty relation for $\sigma^2_{\boldsymbol{B}_{K_1}}$ and $\sigma^2_{\boldsymbol{B}_{K_2}}$ for the transformed signals $T_{K_1}\left[f\right]\left(\boldsymbol{B}\right)$ and $T_{K_2}\left[f\right]\left(\boldsymbol{B}\right)$ characterized by K_1 and

K_2 respectively? Or more generally, suppose we have two KT's characterized by K_1 and K_2 and send signal into different domains $\boldsymbol{B}$ and $\boldsymbol{C}$ respectively, what is the uncertainty relation for $\sigma^2_{\boldsymbol{B}_{K_1}}$ and $\sigma^2_{\boldsymbol{C}_{K_2}}$?

This problem is quite complicated and tough in statistics[14, 15, 16, 17], and the latter question about $\sigma^2_{\boldsymbol{B}_{K_1}}$ and $\sigma^2_{\boldsymbol{C}_{K_2}}$ had not been asked before, to our knowledge. But since we have converted this problem into the one in the context of quantum mechanics, we can solve it directly after obtaining operators $\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{K_1} = \hat{K}_1^{\dagger}\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}\hat{K}_1$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{K_2} = \hat{K}_2^{\dagger}\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}\hat{K}_2$ (or $\hat{\boldsymbol{C}}_{K_2} = \hat{K}_2^{\dagger}\hat{\boldsymbol{C}}\hat{K}_2$). From the knowledge in quantum mechanics, we know that for quantum state $|f\rangle$ and two Hermitian operators $\hat{U}$ and $\hat{V}$, there exists the Schrödinger-Robertson inequality[18, 19]

$$\left[\sigma^2_{\hat{U}}\right]_f \left[\sigma^2_{\hat{V}}\right]_f \geqslant \left\langle \hat{F} \right\rangle_f^2 + \frac{1}{4}\left\langle \hat{W} \right\rangle_f^2, \tag{23}$$

where $\left\langle \hat{O} \right\rangle_f$ and $\left[\sigma^2_{\hat{O}}\right]_f$ are the expectation value and the variance of operator $\hat{O}$ with respect to the state $|f\rangle$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \hat{F} \right\rangle_f &= \frac{1}{2}\left\langle \hat{U}\hat{V} + \hat{V}\hat{U} \right\rangle_f - \left\langle \hat{U} \right\rangle_f \left\langle \hat{V} \right\rangle_f, \\ \hat{W} &= \frac{1}{i}\left[\hat{U}, \hat{V}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

In reference to Eqs. (19, 20, 23) we immediately have the UR for KT

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma^2_{\boldsymbol{B}_{K_1,i}} \sigma^2_{\boldsymbol{B}_{K_2,j}} \\ \geqslant &\left| \tfrac{1}{2}\left\langle \left\{ \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{K_1,i}, \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{K_2,j} \right\} \right\rangle_f - \left\langle \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{K_1,i} \right\rangle_f \left\langle \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{K_2,j} \right\rangle_f \right|^2 \\ &+ \tfrac{1}{4}\left| \left\langle \left[\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{K_1,i}, \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{K_2,j} \right] \right\rangle_f \right|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Or more generally

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma^2_{\boldsymbol{B}_{K_1,i}} \sigma^2_{\boldsymbol{C}_{K_2,j}} \\ \geqslant &\left| \tfrac{1}{2}\left\langle \left\{ \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{K_1,i}, \hat{\boldsymbol{C}}_{K_2,j} \right\} \right\rangle_f - \left\langle \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{K_1,i} \right\rangle_f \left\langle \hat{\boldsymbol{C}}_{K_2,j} \right\rangle_f \right|^2 \\ &+ \tfrac{1}{4}\left| \left\langle \left[\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{K_1,i}, \hat{\boldsymbol{C}}_{K_2,j} \right] \right\rangle_f \right|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Eq. (26) provides generic UR's for all KT's, even for two completely different types of KT's. For example, we can choose the standard Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}$ as T_{K_1}, and the decomposition of function as photonnumber eigenfunctions

$$f(x) \to C_n = \int \psi_n^*(x) f(x)\, dx \tag{27}$$

as T_{K_2}. Following Eq. (26), we have the UR

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_p^2\sigma_n^2 &\geqslant \left|\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\{\hat{p},\hat{n}\}\right\rangle_f-\left\langle\hat{p}\right\rangle_f\left\langle\hat{n}\right\rangle_f\right|^2+\frac{1}{4}\left|\left\langle[\hat{p},\hat{n}]\right\rangle_f\right|^2 \\ &= \left|\frac{1}{2}\overline{\hat{p}\hat{n}+\hat{p}\hat{n}}-\overline{\hat{p}\hat{n}}\right|^2+\frac{1}{4}\overline{\hat{x}}^2\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

In the case of GFrT, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sigma^2_{\boldsymbol{B}_{\alpha,i}}\sigma^2_{\boldsymbol{B}_{\beta,j}} \\ \geqslant &\left|\tfrac{1}{2}\left\langle\left\{\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{\alpha,i},\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{\beta,j}\right\}\right\rangle_f-\left\langle\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{\alpha,i}\right\rangle_f\left\langle\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{\beta,j}\right\rangle_f\right|^2 \\ &+\tfrac{1}{4}\left|\left\langle\left[\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{\alpha,i},\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{\beta,j}\right]\right\rangle_f\right|^2.\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Thus we have converted the calculation of UR for KT to the related quantum mechanical operators' commutation relations. In this way the UR's for FrFT and LCT[14, 15, 16, 17] can be derived briefly and routinely.

If the operator $\hat{K}$ is known, then the transformed operator $\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_K=\hat{K}^\dagger\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}\hat{K}$ can be calculated straightforwardly. However in most of the known cases, KT are defined by c-number kernel $K(\boldsymbol{B},\boldsymbol{A})$. In these cases we are not forced to calculate operator $\hat{K}$. In fact, we can calculate $\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_K$ directly using c-number kernel $K(\boldsymbol{B},\boldsymbol{A})$ as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}&\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_K\equiv\hat{K}^\dagger\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}\hat{K} \\ =&\int|\boldsymbol{A}\rangle\langle\boldsymbol{A}|\hat{K}^\dagger\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}|\boldsymbol{B}\rangle\langle\boldsymbol{B}|\hat{K}\left|\boldsymbol{A}'\right\rangle\left\langle\boldsymbol{A}'\right|d\mu(\boldsymbol{A})\,d\mu\left(\boldsymbol{A}'\right)d\mu(\boldsymbol{B}) \\ =&\int\left[\boldsymbol{B}K^*(\boldsymbol{B},\boldsymbol{A})\,K\left(\boldsymbol{B},\boldsymbol{A}'\right)d\mu(\boldsymbol{B})\right]|\boldsymbol{A}\rangle\left\langle\boldsymbol{A}'\right|d\mu(\boldsymbol{A})\,d\mu\left(\boldsymbol{A}'\right) \\ \equiv&\int\boldsymbol{B}_K\left(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{A}'\right)|\boldsymbol{A}\rangle\left\langle\boldsymbol{A}'\right|d\mu(\boldsymbol{A})\,d\mu\left(\boldsymbol{A}'\right),\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

where we have inserted the completeness relations Eq. (5) and used the definition of kernel Eq. (8).

Now we have the standard procedure to obtain the UR for given KT. Firstly, one need to calculate the transformed operators $\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{K_1}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{C}}_{K_2}$. If the operators $\hat{K}$'s are not provided explicitly, one can use Eq. (30) instead. Secondly, one need to calculate operators $\left\{\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{K_1,i},\hat{\boldsymbol{C}}_{K_2,j}\right\}$ and $\left[\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{K_1,i},\hat{\boldsymbol{C}}_{K_2,j}\right]$. Then we obtain the UR Eq. (26).

The UR's concern the variances $\sigma^2_{\boldsymbol{B}_{K,i}}$ of the transformed function $T_K[f](\boldsymbol{B})$ in the new domain $\boldsymbol{B}$. In the original definition of covariance $Cov(\boldsymbol{B}_{K,i},\boldsymbol{B}_{K,j})=\int_{\mathbb{D}_{\boldsymbol{B}}}\left(\boldsymbol{B}_i-\bar{\boldsymbol{B}}_{K,i}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{B}_j-\bar{\boldsymbol{B}}_{K,j}\right)|T_K[f](\boldsymbol{B})|^2\,d\mu(\boldsymbol{B})$, three objects "domain $\boldsymbol{B}$", "transformation T_K" and "function f" are entangled. This entanglement makes calculations difficult and blurs the meaning of the results. In our new perspective, "domain $\boldsymbol{B}$" is represented by operator $\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}$, "transformation T_K" is represented by operator $\hat{K}$. They are well separated in the new expression $\langle f|\left(\hat{K}^\dagger\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_i\hat{K}-\bar{\boldsymbol{B}}_{K,i}\right)\left(\hat{K}^\dagger\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_j\hat{K}-\bar{\boldsymbol{B}}_{K,j}\right)|f\rangle$. All the needed informations are contained in the transformed operator $\hat{K}^\dagger\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}\hat{K}$. The results in [14, 15, 16, 17]

are the natural consequences of Eq. (26) under different situations, which is the result of the Schrödinger-Robertson inequality Eq. (23) and our new perspective of KT's. If one obtains tighter inequalities compared with Eq. (23), then tighter UR can be obtained immediately for all KT's following our standard procedure.

In the next section, we will follow the procedure described above to obtain the UR's for a large family of KT's, including FrFT, GFrT and multi-dimensional LCT.

4 UR for a Family of KT including FrFT, GFrT and LCT

Let $|\boldsymbol{A}\rangle = |\vec{x}\rangle$ be the n-dimensional coordinate representation, and $|\boldsymbol{B}\rangle = |\vec{p}\rangle$ be the momentum representation. $\hat{\boldsymbol{A}} = \hat{X} = \left(\hat{X}_1, \ldots, \hat{X}_n\right)^T$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{B}} = \hat{P} = \left(\hat{P}_1, \ldots, \hat{P}_n\right)^T$ are n-dimensional coordinate and momentum operators. Here superscript T means transpose operation on matrices. In the following context, we consider KT's whose kernel take the form

$$K\left(\vec{p}, \vec{x}\right) = \frac{\exp\left[i\left(A_K\left(\vec{p}\right) + B_K\left(\vec{x}\right) - \vec{p} \cdot C_K \vec{x}\right)\right]}{\left(2\pi\right)^{n/2} \sqrt{D_K}}, \tag{31}$$

where $A_K\left(\vec{p}\right)$, $B_K\left(\vec{x}\right)$ are real-value functions of $\vec{p}$, $\vec{x}$ respectively, and C_K is an $n \times n$ nonsingular real matrix. Transformations that satisfy Parseval's theorem are much more important in physics and signal processing. Therefore in the following context we consider only such transformations. Parseval's theorem demands that $|D_K \det C_K| \equiv 1$.

Using Eq. (30), the transformed momentum operator is

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{P}_K &= \int \vec{p} K^*\left(\vec{p}, \vec{x}\right) K\left(\vec{p}, \vec{x}'\right) d^n\vec{p} |\vec{x}\rangle \langle\vec{x}'| \, d^n\vec{x} d^n\vec{x}' \\
&= \tfrac{1}{(2\pi)^n |D_K|} \int \vec{p} e^{i\vec{p}\cdot C_K\left(\vec{x}-\vec{x}'\right)} d^n\vec{p} |\vec{x}\rangle \langle\vec{x}'| \\
&\qquad e^{i\left(B_K\left(\vec{x}'\right) - B_K\left(\vec{x}\right)\right)} d^n\vec{x} d^n\vec{x}' \\
&\overset{\vec{p}' = C_K^T \vec{p}}{=} \tfrac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int \left(C_K^T\right)^{-1} \vec{p}' e^{i\vec{p}'\cdot\left(\vec{x}-\vec{x}'\right)} d^n\vec{p}' \, |\vec{x}\rangle \langle\vec{x}'| \\
&\qquad e^{i\left(B_K\left(\vec{x}'\right) - B_K\left(\vec{x}\right)\right)} d^n\vec{x} d^n\vec{x}' \\
&= \tfrac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int \tfrac{1}{i} \left(C_K^T\right)^{-1} \nabla_{\vec{x}} \left[\int e^{i\vec{p}'\cdot\left(\vec{x}-\vec{x}'\right)} d^n\vec{p}'\right] \\
&\qquad |\vec{x}\rangle \langle\vec{x}'| \, e^{i\left(B_K\left(\vec{x}'\right) - B_K\left(\vec{x}\right)\right)} d^n\vec{x} d^n\vec{x}' \\
&= \tfrac{1}{i} \int \left(C_K^T\right)^{-1} \nabla_{\vec{x}} \left[\delta^{(n)}\left(\vec{x} - \vec{x}'\right)\right] \\
&\qquad |\vec{x}\rangle \langle\vec{x}'| \, e^{i\left(B_K\left(\vec{x}'\right) - B_K\left(\vec{x}\right)\right)} d^n\vec{x} d^n\vec{x}'
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Integrating by parts in Eq. (32), we have finally

$$\begin{array}{c}
\hat{P}_K = i\int \delta^{(n)}\left(\vec{x}-\vec{x}'\right)\left(C_K^T\right)^{-1} \\
\nabla_{\vec{x}}\left[\left|\vec{x}\right\rangle\left\langle\vec{x}'\right| e^{i\left(B_K\left(\vec{x}'\right)-B_K\left(\vec{x}\right)\right)}\right] d^n\vec{x}d^n\vec{x}' \\
= \int \left(C_K^T\right)^{-1}\left[\left(i\nabla_{\vec{x}}\left|\vec{x}\right\rangle\right)+\nabla_{\vec{x}}B_K\left(\vec{x}\right)\left|\vec{x}\right\rangle\right] \\
\left\langle\vec{x}'\right| e^{i\left(B_K\left(\vec{x}'\right)-B_K\left(\vec{x}\right)\right)}\delta^{(n)}\left(\vec{x}-\vec{x}'\right) d^n\vec{x}d^n\vec{x}' \\
= \int \delta^{(n)}\left(\vec{x}-\vec{x}'\right)\left(C_K^T\right)^{-1}\left(\hat{P}+\nabla_{\hat{X}}B_K\left(\hat{X}\right)\right) \\
\left|\vec{x}\right\rangle\left\langle\vec{x}'\right| e^{i\left(B_K\left(\vec{x}'\right)-B_K\left(\vec{x}\right)\right)} d^n\vec{x}d^n\vec{x}' \\
= \left(C_K^T\right)^{-1}\left(\hat{P}+\nabla_{\hat{X}}B_K\left(\hat{X}\right)\right),
\end{array} \tag{33}$$

where we have applied the identity $i\nabla_{\vec{x}}\left|\vec{x}\right\rangle = \hat{P}\left|\vec{x}\right\rangle$.

Particularly, when $B_K\left(\vec{x}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\vec{x}\cdot\tilde{B}_K\vec{x}$ is a quadratic of $\vec{x}$, where $\tilde{B}_K$ is a real symmetric matrix,

$$K\left(\vec{p},\vec{x}\right) = \frac{\exp\left[i\left(A_K\left(\vec{p}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\vec{x}\cdot\tilde{B}_K\vec{x}-\vec{p}\cdot C_K\vec{x}\right)\right]}{\left(2\pi\right)^{n/2}\sqrt{D_K}}, \tag{34}$$

we have $\nabla_{\vec{x}}B_K\left(\vec{x}\right) = \tilde{B}_K\vec{x}$ and

$$\hat{P}_K = \left(C_K^T\right)^{-1}\left(\hat{P}+\tilde{B}_K\hat{X}\right). \tag{35}$$

We immediately have the commutation relations

$$\begin{array}{rl}
& \frac{1}{i}\left[\hat{P}_{K_1,i},\hat{P}_{K_2,j}\right] \\
= & \left[\left(C_{K_1}^T\right)^{-1}\left(\tilde{B}_{K_1}-\tilde{B}_{K_2}\right)C_{K_2}^{-1}\right]_{ij} \\
\equiv & W_{K_1K_2,ij}
\end{array} \tag{36}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\left\{\hat{P}_{K_1,i},\hat{P}_{K_2,j}\right\}\right\rangle_f - \left\langle\hat{P}_{K_1,i}\right\rangle_f\left\langle\hat{P}_{K_2,j}\right\rangle_f \\
= \left[\left(C_{K_1}^T\right)^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}\tilde{B}_{K_1}\Delta^{XP}+\Delta^{PX}\tilde{B}_{K_2} \\ +\tilde{B}_{K_1}\Delta^{XX}\tilde{B}_{K_2}+\Delta^{PP}\end{pmatrix}C_{K_2}^{-1}\right]_{ij} \\
\equiv F_{K_1K_2,ij}\ ,
\end{array} \tag{37}$$

where real matrices Δ^{PP}, Δ^{PX}, Δ^{XP} and Δ^{XX} are defined by their elements

$$\begin{array}{l}
\Delta_{st}^{PP} = \frac{1}{2}\left\langle\left\{\hat{P}_s,\hat{P}_t\right\}\right\rangle_f - \left\langle\hat{P}_s\right\rangle_f\left\langle\hat{P}_t\right\rangle_f\ , \\
\Delta_{st}^{PX} = \frac{1}{2}\left\langle\left\{\hat{P}_s,\hat{X}_t\right\}\right\rangle_f - \left\langle\hat{P}_s\right\rangle_f\left\langle\hat{X}_t\right\rangle_f\ , \\
\Delta_{st}^{XP} = \frac{1}{2}\left\langle\left\{\hat{X}_s,\hat{P}_t\right\}\right\rangle_f - \left\langle\hat{X}_s\right\rangle_f\left\langle\hat{P}_t\right\rangle_f\ , \\
\Delta_{st}^{XX} = \frac{1}{2}\left\langle\left\{\hat{X}_s,\hat{X}_t\right\}\right\rangle_f - \left\langle\hat{X}_s\right\rangle_f\left\langle\hat{X}_t\right\rangle_f\ .
\end{array} \tag{38}$$

It is easy to see that $\Delta_{ss}^{PP} = \left\langle \hat{P}_s^2 \right\rangle_f - \left\langle \hat{P}_s \right\rangle_f^2 = \sigma_{\hat{P}_s}^2$, $\Delta_{ss}^{XX} = \left\langle \hat{X}_s^2 \right\rangle_f - \left\langle \hat{X}_s \right\rangle_f^2 = \sigma_{\hat{X}_s}^2$, and $\Delta_{st}^{XP} = \Delta_{ts}^{PX}$.

The UR between $\hat{P}_{K_1,i}$ and $\hat{P}_{K_2,j}$ for KT that defined by Eq. (34) is now

$$\sigma_{\hat{P}_{K_1,i}}^2 \sigma_{\hat{P}_{K_2,j}}^2 \geqslant F_{K_1K_2,ij}^2 + \frac{1}{4} W_{K_1K_2,ij}^2. \tag{39}$$

For such kind of KT, the UR can be derived routinely. First we read off the parameters $\tilde{B}_K$ and C_K directly from the c-number kernel Eq. (34). Then we do a little bit algebra to calculate c-number matrices $W_{K_1K_2} \equiv \left(C_{K_1}^T\right)^{-1} \left(\tilde{B}_{K_1} - \tilde{B}_{K_2}\right) C_{K_2}^{-1}$ and $F_{K_1K_2} \equiv \left(C_{K_1}^T\right)^{-1} \left(\tilde{B}_{K_1}\Delta^{XP} + \Delta^{PX}\tilde{B}_{K_2} + \tilde{B}_{K_1}\Delta^{XX}\tilde{B}_{K_2} + \Delta^{PP}\right) C_{K_2}^{-1}$. And this completes the calculation of UR (39).

5 Some Examples

In this section we apply the results in last section to four examples: the FrFT, one dimensional LCT, the fractional squeezing transform in [9] and the generalized time-frequency transform in [20]. The first two examples have been calculated in [14, 15, 16, 17]. The new method we apply here gives the same results, but with much shorter length and less efforts. The difficult parts of calculation have been completed in the previous sections and generic results (26) and (39) have been derived. What we need to do in the following is no more than plug-in-the-parameters for each case. The third and the fourth ones are new transformations. The calculations are also simple and straightforward.

5.1 Traditional FrFT

As is well-known, the 1-D FrFT kernel is

$$K_\alpha(p,x) = \sqrt{\frac{1 - i\cot\alpha}{2\pi}} e^{\frac{i}{2}\left(\frac{p^2+x^2}{\tan\alpha} - \frac{2px}{\sin\alpha}\right)}. \tag{40}$$

Comparing (40) with (34), we have $C_\alpha^{-1} = \sin\alpha$ and $\tilde{B}_\alpha = \cot\alpha$ here. Then according to Eq. (35), the transformed momentum is

$$\hat{p}_\alpha = \hat{p}\sin\alpha + \hat{x}\cos\alpha. \tag{41}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{PP} &= \sigma_{\hat{p}}^2,\ \Delta^{XX} = \sigma_{\hat{x}}^2, \\ \Delta^{PX} &= \Delta^{XP} = \left\langle \frac{1}{2}\{\hat{p},\hat{x}\} \right\rangle_f - \langle\hat{p}\rangle_f \langle\hat{x}\rangle_f \\ &\equiv R_{xp}\sqrt{\sigma_{\hat{x}}^2\sigma_{\hat{p}}^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

where

$$R_{xp}=\frac{\frac{1}{2}\left\langle \hat{x}\hat{p}+\hat{p}\hat{x}\right\rangle -\bar{x}\bar{p}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{\hat{x}}^{2}\sigma_{\hat{p}}^{2}}} \tag{43}$$

is the correlation coefficient between observables $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{p}$. Eqs. (36, 37) become

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\alpha\beta} &= \sin\left(\beta-\alpha\right), \\ F_{\alpha\beta} &= \sigma_{\hat{p}}^{2}\sin\alpha\sin\beta+\sigma_{\hat{x}}^{2}\cos\alpha\cos\beta \\ &\quad +R_{xp}\sqrt{\sigma_{\hat{x}}^{2}\sigma_{\hat{p}}^{2}}\sin\left(\alpha+\beta\right). \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

The UR Eq. (39) for 1-D FrFT reads

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\hat{p}_{\alpha}}^{2}\sigma_{\hat{p}_{\beta}}^{2} &\geqslant \left|\begin{array}{c} \sigma_{\hat{p}}^{2}\sin\alpha\sin\beta \\ +R_{xp}\sqrt{\sigma_{\hat{x}}^{2}\sigma_{\hat{p}}^{2}}\sin\left(\alpha+\beta\right) \\ +\sigma_{\hat{x}}^{2}\cos\alpha\cos\beta \end{array}\right|^{2} \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{4}\sin^{2}\left(\alpha-\beta\right). \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

In the case that $f\left(x\right)=\left\langle x\left|f\right.\right\rangle$ is a real function (real signal), we see

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \hat{x}\hat{p}\right\rangle_{f} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}f\left(x\right)x\frac{1}{i}\frac{d}{dx}f\left(x\right)dx \\ &= -\frac{i}{2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}xd\left[f^{2}\left(x\right)\right] \\ &= xf^{2}\left(x\right)\left|_{-\infty}^{\infty}+\frac{i}{2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}f^{2}\left(x\right)dx\right. \\ &= \frac{i}{2}, \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

and $\left\langle \hat{p}\hat{x}\right\rangle_{f}=\left\langle \hat{x}\hat{p}\right\rangle_{f}^{*}=-\frac{i}{2}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{p_{\alpha}}^{2}\sigma_{p_{\beta}}^{2} &\geqslant \left|\begin{array}{c} \sigma_{x}^{2}\cos\alpha\cos\beta+\sigma_{p}^{2}\sin\alpha\sin\beta \\ -\bar{x}\bar{p}\sin\left(\alpha+\beta\right) \end{array}\right|^{2} \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{4}\sin^{2}\left(\alpha-\beta\right). \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Further, when $\bar{x}=0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{p_{\alpha}}^{2}\sigma_{p_{\beta}}^{2} &\geqslant \left|\sigma_{x}^{2}\cos\alpha\cos\beta+\sigma_{p}^{2}\sin\alpha\sin\beta\right|^{2} \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{4}\sin^{2}\left(\alpha-\beta\right). \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

At this point we mention that Eq. (24) in [14] can re-appear here directly from Eq. (48).

Particularly, when $R_{xp}=0$ and $\beta=0$, Eq. (45) becomes

$$\sigma_{x}^{2}\sigma_{p_{\alpha}}^{2}\geqslant\left(\sigma_{x}^{2}\right)^{2}\cos^{2}\alpha+\frac{1}{4}\sin^{2}\alpha, \tag{49}$$

this is exactly Eq. (49) of [14].

5.2 One Dimensional LCT

The kernel for one dimensional LCT with parameter $M = (a, b, c, d)$ is

$$K_M(p, x) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi i b}} e^{i\left(\frac{d}{2b}p^2 + \frac{a}{2b}x^2 - \frac{px}{b}\right)}. \tag{50}$$

Comparing (50) with (34), we have $C_M^{-1} = b$ and $\tilde{B}_M = \frac{a}{b}$ here. Then according to Eq. (35), the transformed momentum is

$$\hat{p}_M = b\hat{p} + a\hat{x}. \tag{51}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} W_{M_1M_2} &= a_1b_2 - a_2b_1, \\ F_{M_1M_2} &= a_1a_2\sigma_{\hat{x}}^2 + b_1b_2\sigma_{\hat{p}}^2 \\ &\quad + (a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)\, Cov(x, p). \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

The uncertainty relation

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\hat{P}_{M_1}}^2 \sigma_{\hat{P}_{M_2}}^2 &\geqslant F_{M_1M_2}^2 + \frac{1}{4}W_{M_1M_2}^2 \\ &= \left(\begin{array}{c} a_1a_2\sigma_{\hat{x}}^2 + b_1b_2\sigma_{\hat{p}}^2 \\ + (a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)\, Cov(x, p) \end{array} \right)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4}(a_1b_2 - a_2b_1)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

obtained here is exactly the main result Eq. (20) in [17]. And the method we used here can be applied easily to LCT of any dimensions.

5.3 Fractional Squeezing Transform

As the second example in [9], still we take

$$\begin{aligned} |\boldsymbol{A}\rangle = |x\rangle &= \tfrac{1}{\pi^{1/4}} \exp\left[-\tfrac{1}{2}x^2 + \sqrt{2}xa^\dagger - \tfrac{1}{2}a^{\dagger 2}\right]|0\rangle, \\ |\boldsymbol{B}\rangle = |p\rangle &= \tfrac{1}{\pi^{1/4}} \exp\left[-\tfrac{1}{2}p^2 + i\sqrt{2}pa^\dagger + \tfrac{1}{2}a^{\dagger 2}\right]|0\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

And we introduce the fractional squeezing transform defined by the operator

$$\hat{\mathbf{K}}_\alpha = \exp\left[-\frac{i\alpha}{2}\left(a^2e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}a^{\dagger 2}\right)\right] \exp\left[\frac{i\pi}{2}a^\dagger a\right]. \tag{55}$$

The c-number kernel for the fractional squeezing transform is

$$K_\alpha(p, x) = \frac{\exp\left[\frac{i}{2}\left(\frac{x^2+p^2}{\tanh\alpha\cos\theta} + \frac{x^2-p^2}{\cot\theta} - \frac{2xp}{\sinh\alpha\cos\theta}\right)\right]}{\sqrt{2\pi i\cos\theta\sinh\alpha}}. \tag{56}$$

The fractional squeezing transform is additive, $T_\alpha \circ T_\beta = T_{\alpha+\beta}$.

Comparing (56) with (34), we have

$$C_{\alpha}^{-1}=\sinh\alpha\cos\theta,\ \tilde{B}_{\alpha}=\frac{1+\tanh\alpha\sin\theta}{\tanh\alpha\cos\theta}. \tag{57}$$

According to Eq. (35), the transformed momentum is

$$\hat{p}_{\alpha}=\hat{p}\sinh\alpha\cos\theta+\hat{x}\left(\cosh\alpha+\sinh\alpha\sin\theta\right). \tag{58}$$

Eqs. (36, 37) become

$$\begin{array}{c} W_{\alpha\beta}=\cos\theta\sinh\left(\beta-\alpha\right), \\ F_{\alpha\beta}=\sigma_{\hat{p}}^{2}\sinh\alpha\sinh\beta\cos^{2}\theta \\ +R_{xp}\sqrt{\sigma_{\hat{x}}^{2}\sigma_{\hat{p}}^{2}}\left[\sinh\left(\alpha+\beta\right)+\sinh\alpha\sinh\beta\sin2\theta\right] \\ +\sigma_{\hat{x}}^{2}\left(\cosh\alpha+\sinh\alpha\sin\theta\right)\left(\cosh\beta+\sinh\beta\sin\theta\right). \end{array} \tag{59}$$

The UR of signals on the fractional squeezing transform is

$$\sigma_{p_{\alpha}}^{2}\sigma_{p_{\beta}}^{2}\geqslant\left|\begin{array}{c} \sigma_{\hat{p}}^{2}\sinh\alpha\sinh\beta\cos^{2}\theta \\ +\sigma_{\hat{x}}^{2}\left(\cosh\alpha+\sinh\alpha\sin\theta\right)\times \\ \times\left(\cosh\beta+\sinh\beta\sin\theta\right) \\ +R_{xp}\sqrt{\sigma_{\hat{x}}^{2}\sigma_{\hat{p}}^{2}}\left[\begin{array}{c}\sinh\alpha\sinh\beta\sin2\theta \\ +\sinh\left(\alpha+\beta\right)\end{array}\right] \\ +\frac{1}{4}\cos^{2}\theta\sinh^{2}\left(\alpha-\beta\right). \end{array}\right|^{2} \tag{60}$$

The fractional squeezing transform is a new GFrT, which is additive just like FrFT. We believe it will be widely used in physics, data analysis and signal processing.

5.4 The Generalized Time-Frequency Transform in [20]

In [20], Sahay et al proposed a new KT defined by kernel (Eq. 45 in [20])

$$K_{\phi,\psi}\left(x,p\right)=\sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi il\left(\phi\right)}}\exp\left[i\left(p^{2}+x^{2}\right)g\left(\phi\right)-\frac{ixp}{l\left(\phi\right)}+if\left(p,\psi\right)-if\left(x,\psi\right)\right] \tag{61}$$

Although it looks complicated and contains arbitrary parameters $g\left(\phi\right)$, $l\left(\phi\right)$ and function $f\left(p,\psi\right)$, this kernel still takes the form of Eq. (31). Therefore according to Eq. (35), the transformed momentum operator is

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{p}_{\phi,\psi} &= \left(C_{K}^{T}\right)^{-1}\left(\hat{p}+\nabla_{\hat{X}}B_{K}\left(\hat{X}\right)\right) \\ &= l\left(\phi\right)\left[\hat{p}+2\hat{X}g\left(\phi\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{X}}f\left(\hat{X},\psi\right)\right] \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

Plug Eq. (62) into Eq. (25) we will get the UR for this generalized time-frequency transform.

As an example, let us choose $l(\phi) = \sin\phi$, $g(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}\cot\phi$ and $f(x,\psi) = x^3$ as in Eq. 44 of [20], then

$$\hat{p}_\phi = \hat{p}\sin\phi + \hat{X}\cos\phi - 3\hat{X}^2\sin\phi. \tag{63}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{i}\left[\hat{p}_{\phi_1}, \hat{p}_{\phi_2}\right] = \sin\left(\phi_2 - \phi_1\right). \tag{64}$$

And the covariance of $\hat{p}_{\phi_1}$, $\hat{p}_{\phi_2}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & Cov\left(\hat{p}_{\phi_1}, \hat{p}_{\phi_2}\right) \\ = \; & \frac{1}{2}\left\langle\{\hat{p}_{\phi_1}, \hat{p}_{\phi_2}\}\right\rangle_h - \left\langle\hat{p}_{\phi_1}\right\rangle_h \left\langle\hat{p}_{\phi_2}\right\rangle_h \\ = \; & \left(\sigma_{\hat{p}}^2 - 3Cov\left(\hat{x}^2, \hat{p}\right) + 9\sigma_{\hat{x}^2}^2\right)\sin\phi_1\sin\phi_2 + \sigma_{\hat{x}}^2\cos\phi_1\cos\phi_2 \\ & + \left[Cov\left(\hat{x}, \hat{p}\right) - 3Cov\left(\hat{x}, \hat{x}^2\right)\right]\sin\left(\phi_1 + \phi_2\right) \end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\hat{p}}^2 &= \left\langle\hat{p}^2\right\rangle_h - \left\langle\hat{p}\right\rangle_h^2 \\ \sigma_{\hat{x}}^2 &= \left\langle\hat{x}^2\right\rangle_h - \left\langle\hat{x}\right\rangle_h^2 \\ \sigma_{\hat{x}^2}^2 &= \left\langle\hat{x}^4\right\rangle_h - \left\langle\hat{x}^2\right\rangle_h^2 \\ Cov\left(\hat{x}^2, \hat{p}\right) &= \frac{1}{2}\left\langle\left\{\hat{x}^2, \hat{p}\right\}\right\rangle_h - \left\langle\hat{x}^2\right\rangle_h \left\langle\hat{p}\right\rangle_h \\ Cov\left(\hat{x}, \hat{p}\right) &= \frac{1}{2}\left\langle\{\hat{x}, \hat{p}\}\right\rangle_h - \left\langle\hat{x}\right\rangle_h \left\langle\hat{p}\right\rangle_h \\ Cov\left(\hat{x}, \hat{x}^2\right) &= \left\langle\hat{x}^3\right\rangle_h - \left\langle\hat{x}\right\rangle_h \left\langle\hat{x}^2\right\rangle_h \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

Finally we have the new UR for this generalized time-frequency transform.

$$\sigma_{\hat{p}_{\phi_1}}^2 \sigma_{\hat{p}_{\phi_2}}^2 \geqslant \sin^2\left(\phi_1 - \phi_2\right) + \left|Cov\left(\hat{p}_{\phi_1}, \hat{p}_{\phi_2}\right)\right|^2 \tag{67}$$

6 Conclusion

In summary, we have derived the generic uncertainty relation Eq. (26) for kernel-based transformations. And explicit UR's Eq. (39) are derived for a family of KT's including GFrT and multi-dimensional LCT. Instead of using the statistics approach for FrFT and LCT as shown in the literatures before, which takes tedious work, here we have employed quantum mechanical operator approach for directly deriving the UR for KT. We are able to do this because we have found the quantum operator realization of KT. Our new method is concise and applicable to any kinds of KTs, with continuous and discrete parameters and variables.

Acknowledgment

Work supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant: 11105133 and 11175113, and National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program, 2012CB922001).

References

[1] E. U. Condon, *Immersion of the Fourier transform in a continuous group of functional transformations*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, Vol. 23, No. 3, pp158-164, 1937.

[2] V. Namias, *The fractional Fourier transform and its application in quantum mechanics*, J. Inst. Math. Its Appl., Vol. 25, No. 3, pp241-265, 1980.

[3] D. Mendlovic and H. M. Ozaktas, *Fractional Fourier transforms and their optical implementation, I*, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A, Vol. 10, No. 9, pp1875-1881, 1993.

[4] D. Mendlovic, H. M. Ozaktas and A. W. Lohmmann, *Graded-index fiber, Wigner-distribution functions, and the fractional Fourier transform*, Appl. Opt., Vol. 33, No. 26, pp6188-6193, 1994.

[5] A. C. McBride and F. H. Kerr, *On Namias' fractional Fourier transform*, IMA J. Appl. Math., Vol. 39, No. 2, pp159-175, 1987.

[6] A. W. Lohmann, *Image rotation, Wigner rotation and fractional Fourier transform*, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A, Vol. 10, No. 10, 2181-2186, 1993.

[7] L. Bernardo and O. D. D. Soares, *Fractional Fourier transform and optical systems*, Opt. Commun., Vol. 110, No. 5-6, pp517-522, 1994.

[8] Sumiyoshi Abet and John T Sheridant, *Generalization of the fractional Fourier transformation to an arbitrary linear lossless transformation: an operator approach*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., Vol. 27, No. 12, pp4179-4187, 1994.

[9] Jun-Hua Chen and Hong-Yi Fan, *Quantum mechanical perspectives and generalization of the fractional Fourier transformation*, arXiv:1307.6271 [math-ph]

[10] Meng-Sen Ma and Ren Zhao, *The effect of generalized uncertainty principle on square well, a case study*, Journal of Mathematical Physics, Vol. 55, No. 8, pp082109, 2014

[11] J. Crann and M. Kalantar, *An uncertainty principle for unimodular quantum groups*, Journal of Mathematical Physics, Vol. 55, No. 8, pp081704, 2014

[12] Yan-Ni Dou and Hong-Ke Du, *Generalizations of the Heisenberg and Schrodinger uncertainty relations*, Journal of Mathematical Physics, Vol. 54, No. 10, pp103508, 2014

[13] Vasily E. Tarasov, *Uncertainty relation for non-Hamiltonian quantum systems*, Journal of Mathematical Physics, Vol. 54, No. 1, pp012112, 2013

[14] Sudarshan Shinde and Vikram M. Gadre, *An Uncertainty Principle for Real Signals in the Fractional Fourier Transform Domain*, IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, Vol. 49, No. 11, pp2545-2548, 2001.

[15] K. K. Sharma and S. D. Joshi, *Uncertainty Principle for Real Signals in the Linear Canonical Transform Domains*, IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, Vol. 56, No. 7, pp2677-2683, 2008.

[16] Guanlei Xu, Xiaotong Wang and Xiaogang Xu, *On uncertainty principle for the linear canonical transform of complex signals*, IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, Vol. 58, No. 9, pp4916-4918, 2010.

[17] J. Zhao, R. Tao, and Y. Wang, *On signal moments and uncertainty relations associated with linear canonical transform*, IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, Vol. 90, No. 9, pp2686-2689, 2010.

[18] H. P. Robertson, *The Uncertainty Principle*, Phys. Rev. Vol. 34 pp163-164, 1929.

[19] E. Schrödinger, *Zum Heisenbergschen Unschärfeprinzip*, Sitzungsberichte der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Physikalisch-mathematische Klasse Vol. 14, pp296-303, 1930.

[20] S. Sahay, D. Pande, V. Gadre and P. Sohani, *A Novel Generalized Time-Frequency Transform Inspired by the Fractional Fourier Transform for Higher Order Chirps*, Signal Processing and Communications (SPCOM), 2012 International Conference on, DOI: 10.1109/SPCOM.2012.6289994